LOS ANGELES COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE BUREAU OF PROSECUTION SUPPORT OPERATIONS JUSTICE SYSTEM INTEGRITY DIVISION

GEORGE GASCÓN • District Attorney JOSEPH F. INIGUEZ • Chief of Staff DIANA M. TERAN . Director

September 26, 2022

Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department Homicide Bureau 1 Cupania Circle Monterey Park, California 91755

Re: Fatal Officer Involved Shooting of Raymundo Ceja

J.S.I.D. File #20-0074

L.A.S.D. File #020-03105-0600-013

Dear

The Justice System Integrity Division of the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office has completed its review of the March 1, 2020, fatal shooting of Raymundo Ceja by Los Angeles Sheriff's Department (LASD) Deputy Tarek Salah. Our detailed analysis of this incident is contained in the attached memorandum.

Very truly yours,

GEORGE GASCÓN District Attorney

ALAN YOCHELSON

Head Deputy District Attorney Justice System Integrity Division

c: Deputy Tarek Salah, #

WEBSITE: http://da.lacounty.gov

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department

Homicide Bureau
1 Cupania Circle

Monterey Park, California 91755

FROM:

JUSTICE SYSTEM INTEGRITY DIVISION

Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office

SUBJECT:

Fatal Officer Involved Shooting of Raymundo Ceja

J.S.I.D. File #20-0074

L.A.S.D. File #020-03105-0600-013

DATE:

September 26, 2022

The Justice System Integrity Division of the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office has completed its review of the March 1, 2020, fatal shooting of Raymundo Ceja by Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department (LASD) Deputy Tarek Salah. We have concluded that Deputy Salah acted in lawful self-defense and the defense of others.

The District Attorney's Command Center was notified of this shooting on March 1, 2020, at approximately 3:43 p.m. The District Attorney Response Team (DART) responded to the location, where they received a briefing and walk-through of the scene.

The following analysis is based on police reports, radio transmissions, LASD video surveillance, recorded interviews, crime scene photographs, ballistic reports, autopsy and toxicology reports, and other evidence submitted to this office by LASD Homicide Bureau Detectives Christopher Dimmitt and John O'Brien. Salah's voluntary statement was considered in this analysis. LASD deputies at the Santa Clarita Station were not equipped with body cameras when this incident occurred.

FACTUAL ANALYSIS

On March 1, 2020, at 1:47 p.m., Raymundo Ceja parked a friend's Hyundai Sonata in the Santa Clarita Sheriff's Station public parking lot. Approximately forty minutes later, Ceja drove into the employee parking lot and parked in the northeast corner. At 2:29 p.m., Deputy Tarek Salah, who was wearing a full uniform, drove a marked, black-and-white patrol vehicle northbound in the employee parking lot towards the exit. was accompanying Salah for a marked was seated in the front passenger seat. As Salah drove towards the exit, Ceja walked westbound in front of Salah's vehicle pointing what appeared to be a black, semiautomatic handgun directly at Salah. Salah exited the patrol vehicle, drew his duty weapon, and gave Ceja commands to drop the handgun. Several other deputies saw Ceja pointing what appeared to be a

handgun at Salah and gave Ceja commands to drop the weapon. Ceja stopped approximately ten feet in front of Salah and continued pointing the handgun directly at him. Salah told investigators that he believed Ceja was going to shoot and kill him. Salah fired two rounds from his duty weapon, striking Ceja twice. Ceja dropped the handgun and fell to the ground. Deputies approached Ceja and rendered medical aid. A replica Taurus airsoft pistol was recovered from the pavement next to Ceja. The Los Angeles County Fire Department (LAFD) transported Ceja to the Henry Mayo Newhall Hospital, where he was pronounced deceased at 3:05 p.m.



Replica pistol recovered from the scene.

told investigators that as Salah was driving towards the exit, Ceja appeared on the front passenger side of the vehicle and continued walking laterally towards the driver's side while pointing a handgun directly at Salah. Salah stopped the vehicle and gave Ceja commands to drop the handgun. was concerned for his own safety, but said Ceja seemed focused on Salah. heard one gunshot before Ceja fell to the ground.

LASD video surveillance captured the incident and is consistent with the statements Salah and other witnesses made to investigators.

Investigators interviewed Ceja's family and friends. Ceja's told investigation	
before the incident, Ceja said he was "stressed," was hearing voices, and had tried	ed to kill himself
with a handgun. One of Ceja's told his that Ceja had been using	
believed somebody was "after him." Ceja lost his job a month before the incide	nt.
told investigators Ceja had been staying at her apartment for a month. On the da	ly of the
incident, Ceja dropped for a first off at her work in her Hyundai. Later that n	norning, Ceja
sent a message saying he was not feeling well and was outside. Wi	hen
spoke to Ceja, he told her he was hearing voices. Ceja left after	unch break and
arranged to pick her up at 3:00 p.m. At 2:00 p.m., Ceja sent amessa a mess	sage saying he

loved her, everything was fine, and her Hyundai would	be at the police	ce station.	
messaged Ceja but he did not respond.			of his
told investigators Ceja had been losing weight and	I was acting	paranoid durir	ng the last
month. On the day of the incident, Ceja sent	messages s	saying goodby	ye.

Autopsy Report

On March 3, 2020, Los Angeles County Deputy Medical Examiner Zuhha Ashraf performed an autopsy and determined Ceja's cause of death to be gunshot wounds. Ceja suffered one gunshot wound to the midline upper chest that perforated his lung and one gunshot wound to the upper left thigh. The trajectory of both wounds was front to back, left to right and downward. Toxicology results indicated the presence of cocaine in Ceja's blood sample.

LEGAL ANALYSIS

California law pennits the use of deadly force in self-defense or in the defense of others if the person claiming the right of self-defense or the defense of others actually and reasonably believed that he or others were in imminent danger of great bodily injury or death. Penal Code section 197; People v. Randle (2005) 35 Cal.4th 987, 994 (overruled on another ground in People v. Chun (2009) 45 Cal.4th 1172, 1201); People v. Humphrey (1996) 13 Cal.4th 1073, 1082; see also, CALCRIM No. 505. The prosecution has the burden of proving beyond a reasonable doubt that a killing was not justified. CALCRIM Nos. 505, 507.

A peace officer is justified in using deadly force upon another person when the officer reasonably believes, based on the totality of the circumstances, that such force is necessary for either of the following reasons: (1) to defend against an imminent threat of death or serious bodily injury to the officer or to another person; or (2) to apprehend a fleeing person for any felony that threatened or resulted in death or serious bodily injury, if the officer reasonably believes that the person will cause death or serious bodily injury to another unless immediately apprehended. Penal Code section 835a(c)(1)(A) & (B).

A threat of death or serious bodily injury is imminent when, based on the totality of the circumstances, a reasonable officer in the same situation would believe a person has the present ability, opportunity, and apparent intent to immediately cause death or serious bodily injury to the peace officer or another person. An imminent harm is not merely a fear of future harm, no matter how great the fear and no matter how great the likelihood of the harm, but is one that, from appearances, must be instantly confronted and addressed. Penal Code section 835a(e)(2).

When considering the totality of the circumstances, all facts known to or perceived by the peace officer at the time, including the conduct of the officer and the subject leading up to the use of deadly force, are taken into consideration. Penal Code section 835a(a)(4) & (e)(3). The peace officer's decision to use force is not evaluated with the benefit of hindsight and shall account for occasions when officers may be forced to make quick judgments about using force. Penal Code section 835a(a)(4).

In evaluating whether a police officer's use of deadly force was reasonable in a specific situation, it is helpful to draw guidance from the objective standard of reasonableness adopted in civil actions alleging Fourth Amendment violations. "The 'reasonableness' of a particular use of force must be judged from the perspective of a reasonable officer on the scene, rather than with the 20/20 vision of hindsight... The calculus of reasonableness must embody allowance for the fact that police officers are often forced to make split-second judgments—in circumstances that are tense, uncertain, and rapidly evolving about the amount of force that is necessary in a particular situation." Graham v. Connor (1989) 490 U.S. 386, 396-397.

Salah was driving through a crowded employee parking lot with a passenger when Ceja walked in front of his patrol vehicle pointing what Salah believed was a black, semiautomatic handgun in his direction. Salah and other deputies gave Ceja commands to drop the handgun. Ceja did not comply and continued pointing the handgun directly at Salah. Based on the totality of the circumstances, Salah reasonably believed the use of deadly force was necessary to defend against an imminent threat of serious bodily injury or death to Salah and others when he discharged his duty weapon. The fact that the handgun was later found to be an airsoft pistol does not alter the reasonableness of Salah's actions.

CONCLUSION

We find that Deputy Tarek Salah acted in lawful self-defense and the defense of others when he fired his duty weapon.

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

76C300F - SH-R-49 (Rev. 4/2014)

A INADI.	TION OF SERV	TIGE	INCI	DENT RE	PORT		DATE	03-01	-20 PAGE 1 OF 5
ACTION: W ACT	CRIMINAL	#OF ADULT # OF ARRESTS DE	F SUBJECT URNS 20		OS EVENTIAL	000 REPORT		DI3	TAG#
A SS AU C	HTIW T								15 cella 1 pc/F/013
CLASSIFICATION 3 / LEV	EL / STAT CODE								-
CLASSIFICATION 4 / LEV	EL / STAT CODE								
ADDITIONAL STAT CODE	S CASAPISS		☐ GANG RELATED / 890	() CYBER - RELA	TÉD CRIME / 55	2			
	□ FIREARM REL		☐ CYBER CRIME / 551			NON-CRIMINAL)	/569 DOTHE	R	
03-01-20	11429 H	R/SUNDA	Y		PRINTS REC		REQUESTED BY:		TIME
27340 MA	NCE HOUNTA	EN PRUDY. V	ALENCIA CA 91	355	BUSINESS NAM	LAU ETA	SHERIFF	STATE	:00
CODE: V-VICTIM	. W-WITNESS	. I-INFORMAN	T . R - REPORTING PART	TY . P-PART	Υ				
CODE # of	LAST NAME		FIRST NAME	MIDDLE NAA			008	AGE	DRIVER'S LICENSE / STATE ID
RESIDENCE ADDRESS			H, TAREK	CITY	H	STATE	ZIP		RESIDENCE PHONE (Area Code)
BUSINESS / SCHOOL (GF		200,019	Querett 1	CITY	MEGI	STATE	71D		BUSINESS PHONE (Area Code)
			CH DAMA	VALEN		C &	9135	2	CELL PHONE (Area Code)
VICTIM OF OFFENSE(S)	(CLASSIFICATION) #	_	VICTIM DESIROUS OF PROSEC	UTION?			E DESIROUS OF CONF	DENTIALITY?	ENGLISH SPEAKING? [] YES [] NO
CODE # of	LAST NAME		FIRST NAME	MIDDLE NAM	E SEX		008	AGE	DRIVER S LICENSE / STATE ID
RESIDENCE ADORESS				CITY		STATE	ZIP		RESIDENCE PHONE (Area Code)
BUSINESS / SCHOOL (GR	RADE) ADDRESS			CITY		STATE	ZIP		BUSINESS PHONE (Area Code)
ETHNIC ORIGIN	EMAIL ADD	RESS			800	MAL NETWORKIN	ACCOUNT		CELL PHONE (Area Code)
VICTIM OF OFFENSE(S)	CI ARRIFICATION\		C						
# # #	# #		VICTIM DESIROUS OF PROSEC	UTION?		TRM OF SEX CRM	E DESIROUS OF CONF	IDENTIALITY?	ENGLISH SPEAKING? (1) YES (1) NO LANGUAGE
CODE: S-SUSPEC		CT - M - PATIEN	NT . S/V - SUSPECT / VIC		SUBJECT / V	CTIM			
CODE # of	CEJA	RAY	MUNDO	AARON			DO-28-87	32	ORIVER'S LICENSE / STATE ID
SEX RACE	ETHNIC ORIGIN	BLK	BRN	(OOC			200		CELL PHONE (Area Code)
RESIDENCE ADDRESS				CITY	•	STATE	ZIP		RESIDENCE PHONE (Area Code)
BUSINESS / SCHOOL (GR	RADE) ADDRESS			CITY		STATI	ZIP	•	BUSINESS PHONE (Area Code)
AKA	===	EMAIL ADDR	REGS		900	CIAL NETWORKIN	3 ACCOUNT		ENGLISH SPEAKING? - YES - NO
MONIKER	W	CHARGE			BOO	OKING NUMBER	-		VHERE DETAINED OR CITE NUMBER
CODE # of	LAST NAME		FIRST NAME	MIDDLE NA	AE.		DOB	AGE	DRIVER'S LICENSE / STATE ID
SEX RACE	ETHNIC ORIGIN	HAIR	ÉYES	HEIGHT		,	WEIGHT		CELL PHONE (Area Code)
RESIDENCE ADDRESS				СПУ		STATI	ZIP		RESIDENCE PHONE (Area Code)
BUBINESS / BCHOOL (GF	RADE) ADDRESS	·		CATY		STATI			BUSINESS PHONE (Aren Code)
AKA		EMAIL ADDE	TERS.		7 900	HAL NETWORKIN			ENGLISH SPEAKING? D YES D NO
MONIKER							274200011		LANGUAGE
NOVINER		CHARGE			900	OKING NUMBER			WHERE DETAINED OR CITE NUMBER
BY DEPUTY PINE	DA, A	EMPI OVEE	SWORN EXP IN YE	RS DEPUTY	CHEZ	P	EMPLOYEE#		DRN EXP IN YRS VACATION DATES
STATION	UNIT / CAR #		SHIFT	APPROVED			EMPLOYEE #		DATE / TIME
PCD SUBMITTED?	VACATION DATE	S	۲	ASSIGNMEN	Y	V MAC		-	3-02-20/0115
□ YES MNO HO NOTIFICATION REQU	ESTED? DEPUTY		DATE / TIME	-	OM I CI			CIS &	
TYES KNO				OPECONE RE	SOEST DESIRE	NATION OF		NNE	
SUSPECT / SUBJECT FIE	LD RELEASE APPROVE	D BY:	DATE / TIME	CRIME BRO	ADCAST BY		oP; /D(brzan	ISKIRETARY CADAO
76C300E - SH-R-49 (D Albania						Date		

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

INCIDENT REPORT

URBS 020-03105-0600-013

IAGE

A TRADITION OF SERVICE			DATEO 3-01-20 P	AGE 2 OF 3
EHICLE SICENSE (STATE & NUMBER) EHICLE FOR VICTIM # EHICLE FOR SUSPECT #		MHE MODEL LYNG FEATURES MODEL SOND:	SCDY TYPE	COLC
STATUS STOLEN DAMAGED EMBEZZLED		E NAME & PHONE		
X STORED IMPOUNDED I OUTSTANDIN	X YES DINO LUC	lf towathin (6)	01-259-7676	
PESCRIPTION OF DAMAGE				
EHICLE LICENSE (STATE & NUMBER)	YEAR	MAKE MODEL	BODY TYPE	COLC
EHICLE FOR VICTIM #	MACHANIA.	FYING FEATURES		
VEHICLE FOR SUSPECT #	IDEN 18	TIMO PEALURES		
STATUS STOLEN DOMINAGED DEMBEZZLED	CHP 180 SUBMETTED? GARAG	E NAME & PHONE		
STORED SMPOUNDED CUTSTANDA	E) YES LENO			
DESCRIPTION OF DAMAGE				
×				
	SCREENING FACTOR	28		
1. SUSPECT IN CUSTODY 2. SUSPECT NAMED / KNOWN	3. UNIQUE SUSPECT IDEA 4. VEHICLE IN CUSTODY	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	UNIQUE VEHICLE IDEN WRITER/REVIEWER DI	
PROPE	RTY CODE:		RELEASED TO	
S – STOLEN • R – RECOVERED • L – LOST • F – FOU (Use all applicable Codes; for example, if p	ID · E - EMBEZZLED · D - DAMAGE	ED • K – SAFEKEEPING de is S/R)		
CODE ITEM # QUAN. DESCRIPTION physical descripts	nclude kind of article, trade name, identifyin n, material, color, condition, age and present	g numbers, market value)	SERIAL#	VALUE
SEE PALIE 3 F	DR NARRATIVE		-	p beam

URN 020-03105-0600-013

Page 3 of 3

On the indicated date and time, the suspect pointed a firearm at the victim who was wearing his departmental uniform. The victim was driving a marked patrol vehicle. A Deputy involved shooting occurred. This occurred at the Santa Clarita Station employee parking lot. We responded to the Santa Clarita Station as Deputies requested assistance via their Los Angeles County issued radio.

Upon our arrival, I observed the suspect laying on the ground in the north end of the parking lot. He was bleeding from his torso area.

The suspect was transported to Henry Mayo Newhall Hospital (23845 McBean Pkwy.) by Los Angeles County Fire Engine 129, Captain Oldham.

Deputy Westerfield, S. (# and Deputy Boccanedes, J. (# asserted the suspect to Henry Mayo Newhall Hospital. See their supplemental report for further.

Los Angeles County Sheriff Arson and Explosives Detective Schulze, J. (# 1997) and Detective Valencia, C. (# 1997) arrived to the indicated location at approximately 1710 hours.

Los Angeles County Sheriff Crime Lab Specialist Marmolejo, M. (# arrived to the indicated location at approximately 1800 hours.

Homicide Bureau Detective Obrien, J. (# and assisting Homicide Bureau detectives arrived to the scene at approximately 1800 hours and took command of the investigation.